

# PROFILES AND PREDICTIVE FACTORS IN YOUNG AGE BREAST CANCER PATIENTS (RETROSPECTIVE STUDY)

Erol Aksaz<sup>1</sup>, Güven Atasoy<sup>1</sup>, Tayanç Öncel<sup>1</sup>, Turay Yazıcı<sup>1</sup>, Adil Aydemir<sup>2</sup>, Nuray İpek<sup>1</sup>, Dilek Bitik<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mamer Cerrahi Merkezi, Cerrahi Onkoloji Bölümü, Bursa, Türkiye <sup>2</sup>Onkoloji Hastanesi, Genel Cerrahi Kliniği, Bursa, Türkiye

Sunulduğu kongre: Ulusal Meme Hastalıkları Kongresi

## GENÇ YAŞTAKİ MEME KANSERLİ HASTALARIN PROFIL VE PREDİKTİF FAKTÖRLERİ

#### Ö7FT

Amaç: Genç meme kanserli hastalar hastalığın seyri, biyolojik davranış farklılıkları, prognostik faktör özelliklerinin değişik olması nedeni ile özel olarak incelenmesi qereken bir konudur.

Hastalar ve Yöntem: 1997–2005 yılları arasında tedavi edilen 1300 meme kanserli olgu incelendi. 133 olgu (%10) 35 yaş ve altındaydı. Bu olgular evre, nodal durum, cerrahi teknik, adjuant ve neo-adjuvan tedaviler, ER/PR durumu, grade, lenfatik ve vasküler invazyon, intraduktal komponent, histolojik tip, yerel nüks, metastaz, mortalite, hastalıksız ve toplam sağ kalım süreleri ve etkili prediktif faktörler yönünden 35 yaş üzeri hastalar ile karşılaştırıldılar.

Bulgular: 35 yaş ve altındaki olgularda evre, nodal tutulum, lenfatik ve vasküler invazyon, ER/PR negatifliği daha yüksek oranda bulundu. Bu olgularda yerel nüks ve mortalite oranları yüksek, hastalıksız ve toplam sağ kalım süreleri düşük bulundu. Kuvvetli prediktif faktörler evre, grade ve nodal tutulumdu.

Sonuç: Görülmektedir ki genç meme kanserli olgularda prognoz daha kötü seyretmektedir. Ülkemizde görülen genç meme kanserli olguların özellikleri diğer ülkeler ile benzerdir.

Anahtar sözcükler: prognoz, rekürens, metastaz, cerrahi, kemoterapi

### ABSTRACT

Young breast cancer patients have been examined specifically according to the progress of disease, biological behavior differences, and manifestation of various characteristics of prognostic factors. A total of 1300 breast cancer patients, treated between the years 1997 and 2005, were enrolled in the study. Of those patients, 133 were aged 35 years or younger (10%). They were then compared with women older than 35 years in relation to stage, nodal state, surgical technique, adjuvant and neo-adjuvant therapies, ER/PR status, grade, lymphatic and vascular invasion, intraductal component, histological type, local-recurrence, metastasis, mortality, disease-free survival and overall survival rates and predictive factors. In patients aged 35 years or younger, stage, nodal involvement, lymphatic and vascular invasion, ER/PR negativity resulted with higher ratios. In this patient group, local-recurrence and mortality rates were increased while overall survival and disease-free survival decreased. The strong predictive factors observed in the study include stage, grade, and nodal status.

These outcomes demonstrate that breast cancer in young patients possess worse prognoses. The characteristics of young breast cancer patients in Turkey are similar to that of other countries.

Key words: prognosis, recurrence, metastasis, surgery, chemotherapy

actors efficacious over prognosis of breast cancer are crucial in disease treatment choice and its progress. Although opinions for many factors have been definite, there are prognostic factors that are still under dispute. In patients with breast cancer, age is a prognostic factor one that is debatable. The opinion of accepting young age as a risk factor has been gradually emphasized. In addition to this, other prognostic characteristics of young patients, biological differences due to patient and tumor, and different treatment alternatives in young patients bring about this issue as another subject of debate retrospectively (1-4). Furthermore, young patient profile and characteristics may differ as a result of genetic, socio-economical and geographical factors.

In this study we sought to demonstrate the profile of young patients with breast cancer in the West-Anatolian region of Turkey. Patients comprising the study group included a mixed European and Asian population with varying socio-economical statuses.

# Materials and methods

from a total of 1300 cases that underwent breast carcinoma treatment between the years 1997 and 2005, 133 cases, aged 35 years and younger, were included in the study. The variables choice of surgery, adjuvant therapies (radiation therapy, chemotherapy, hormonal therapy), stage distribution, nodal status, grade, histological type, neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, ER/PR status, lymphatic

and vascular invasion, intraductal component, metastasis, localrecurrence, exitus, disease free and overall survival were compared between age groups ≤35 years and >35 years (young age versus older age breast cancer group). Pathological evaluation was processed by H&E staining, and ER/PR evaluations were assessed by the Ventana-Benchmark immunohistochemical automatic system. A modified Bloom-Richardson scale was used for classification purposes. Predictive factors were examined from aspects of local-recurrence, metastasis and mortality rates. Outcomes were statistically calculated using z-tests and multi-variety regression analyses. A chi-square test was employed to confirm statistical significance. Disease-free survival and overall survival were estimated and plotted using the Kaplan-Meier method. Group differences in survival time were tested using log-rank tests. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS for Windows (version 13.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.

### **Results**

Young age breast cancer patients ( $\leq$ 35 years) were 10% (133/1300) in total. The median follow-up time was 58 months, and the median age of the patients was 31.2 years of age (age range between 21 to 35 years). The older age breast cancer group (>35 years) had a median follow-up time of 62 months and a median age of 54.3 years (age range between 35 and 92 years).

Modified radical mastectomy and breast-conservation were not statistically significant in either age group. For the inoperable patients in the study, no statistical significance was found between groups. Simple mastectomy was found to be statistically significant and carried out more frequently in the older age (p < 0.01).

For adjuvant treatments, chemotherapy and radiation therapy were administered more in the young age breast cancer group (100/133, p<0.01; 41/133, p<0.05, respectively), whereas hormone therapy was administered more in the older age breast cancer group (p<0.05). FEC (endoxan, fluorouracil, epirubicin) was the most common chemotherapy regimen administered to young age breast cancer group and was statistically more frequent than the older age breast cancer group (p<0.05).

According to stage distribution, 0, 1, 2A, 2B, 3B and 4 did not show significant difference between both groups. Only stage 3A cases were observed more frequently in the young age breast cancer group (28/133, p<0.01).

When nodal status was examined, number of N0 patients was observed more at older age breast cancer group (p<0.01). N1 patient ratios did not differ between the two groups. Number of N2 patients were more in the young age breast cancer group (31/133, p<0.01).

Patients with bilateral breast cancer did not show statistically significant difference between the two groups.

<b>Table 1</b> . Statistical differences between age $\leq$ 35 and age $>$ 35 patient groups.							
	A	Age > 35					
Simple Mastectomy	-	(6)-4,5 %	p<0.01				
Chemotherapy	p<0.01	(100)-75,2 %	-				
Radiotherapy	p<0.05	(41)-30,8 %	-				
Hormonotherapy	-	(74)-55,6 %	p<0.05				
Stage 3A	p<0.05	(28)-21 %	-				
Nodal Status N0	-	(50)-37,6 %	p<0.01				
Nodal Status N2	p<0.01	(31)-23,3 %	-				
Inflammatory Cancer	=	(1)-0,7 %	p<0.01				
Invasive Ductal Cancer	p<0.05	(111)-83,4 %	-				
Lymphatic Invasion	p<0.01	(51)-38,3 %	-				
Vascular Invasion	p<0.05	(27)-20,3 %	-				
ER/PR Negativity	p<0.05	(32)-24 %	-				
Local Recurrence	p<0.01	(9)-6,7 %	-				
Mortality	p<0.01	(26)-19,5 %	-				

Table 2. Overall and disease-free survival rates for age ≤35 and all aged patients.							
	<i>Age</i> ≤ 35	All aged patients					
Overall survival	% 91.7	% 95					
Disease-free survival	% 86.4	% 91					

According to grades, no significant difference was found between the two groups.

Furthermore, no significant difference was found with respect to metastasis, therefore, as expected, metastatic disease was not more frequent in young age breast cancer patients.

Mortality was observed at a significantly higher rate in the young age breast cancer group (26/133, p<0.01).

Inflammatory breast cancer was observed less frequently in young age breast cancer group (p<0.01).

No significant difference was found in the distribution of the in situ, lobular, medullary and mucinous histological types. Invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) was observed more frequently in the young age breast cancer group (111/133, p<0.05).

Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy administration rates did not show a significant difference between both groups.

In the young age breast cancer group, lymphatic invasion (51/133, p<0.01) and vascular invasion (27/133, p<0.05) were observed more frequently. IDC observation rate showed no significant difference.

Hormone receptor negativity (ER/PR) was observed more frequently in young age breast cancer group patients (32/133, p<0.05).



Table 3. Predictive factors for mortality, local recurrence and metastasis.							
	Local recurrence	Stage 3	Grade 2/3	Node positivity	IDC	Vascular invasion	
Mortality	p<0.01	p<0.01	p<0.05	p<0.01	-	-	
Local Recurrence	-	-	p<0.05	p<0.01	p<0.01	p<0.01	
Metastasis	p<0.01	p<0.01	p<0.05	p<0.01	-	-	

Local-recurrence rate was significantly higher in young age breast cancer group (9/133, p<0.01) (Table 1).

In the young age breast cancer group, median survival was 53.5 months and the overall survival was 91.7%. In our entire age patient-based cohort (1330 cases), the survival rate was calculated as 95%. Poorer survival was observed in young age breast cancer group. In the younger patients, disease-free survival was 86.4%, as patient-based cohort resulted with a 91% disease-free survival (Table 2).

When predictive factors for cancer related mortality were examined for the young age breast cancer group, local-recurrence (p<0.01), stage 3 and 4 (p<0.01), grade 2 and 3 (p<0.05), lymph node involvement (p<0.01) were statistically significant. Very young age ( $\leq$ 30 years) was not found significantly predictive factor for breast cancer. The predictive factors for local-recurrence were intraductal component (p<0.01), grade 2 and 3 (p<0.05), vascular invasion (p<0.01), and lymph node involvement (p<0.01). Stage, lymphatic invasion, very young age ( $\leq$ 30) patients, surgical margin positivity was not found statistically significant. The predictive factors for metastasis were stage 3 disease (p<0.01), grade 2 (p<0.05) and 3 (p<0.01) histology lymph node involvement (p<0.01), and presence of local recurrence (p<0.01) (Table 3).

# Discussion

Breast cancer comprises approximately 22% of all cancers observed in females, with 2% of these are patients aged 35 years or younger (1). Young breast cancer patients ( $\leq$ 35 years) are represented by 5-7% in previous studies (2,3). A study conducted in Korea demonstrated that 12.5% of breast cancer cases were young patients (4). The ratio of  $\leq$ 30 years of age patients was reported as 1% (5). In our study, 10% of our study population consisted of young patients aged  $\leq$ 35 years.

Nixon and Albain found young aged patients resulted with worse prognosis. In the study by Nixon, worse prognosis was a result of grade 3 tumor, extensive intraductal component, lymph node involvement and necrosis. In Albain's study, nodal status, tumor diameter, BRCA 1 positivity, S phase fraction and p53 abnormality was found higher (2,6,7). However, in a different study, p53 was found to have no relationship with young age (8).

In the studies of MD Anderson Cancer Center, Institute Curie, Milan group and NSABP, prognosis in younger aged patients with breast cancer was found to be worse. As a result, young age is recognized as a prognostic factor.

Various studies have demonstrated age as a prognostic factor, as prognosis and survival have been shown to be worse in the younger (1,2,4-6,9-28).

Being at a young age has been stated as the second most leading risk factor after lymph node involvement (13,15).

In our study, there is significant majority of N0 patients in >35 years of age and N2 patients in  $\leq 35$  years age group.

Previous studies have expressed that prognosis at young age has been worse with grade 1 disease less frequently seen in comparison to the higher numbers of high grade, node positivity, and ER negativity. Diagnosis has been delayed in the younger patients due to difficulties, therefore increasing mortality risk (2,9,29).

In a population-based cohort consisting of 452 patients ≤35 years of age, positive family history was found in 34% of the patients, grade 3 was found in 69%, and ER positivity was reported in 52% (7). Hormone receptor-negativity and grade 3 were related to poor survival within the study patients (30). Concurrently, ER/PR negativity was more frequent in the younger aged patients.

Tumor diameter, nodal involvement, positive family history, ER negativity, DNA aneuploidity, lymphatic and vascular invasion were determined as predictive factors of a worse prognosis in younger aged patients (1,7,10,12,15,31-35). Similarly, this study found that lymphatic and vascular invasions were observed more frequently in the younger patients.

Patients in the ≤35 years age group were reported to receive more chemotherapy and less hormonal therapy. Combined use of chemotherapy, LH/RH agonist and tamoxifen was more frequent in younger patients (10, 36). In our study, it was determined that more chemotherapy and less hormonal therapy were administered to young patients.

Medullar carcinoma was found to be the most common histological type in young patients according to one study and invasive ductal carcinoma was reported to be the most frequent type in another study (4,35). With our study, invasive ductal carcinoma was observed significantly more in the younger aged patient group.

# The Journal of Breast Health meme sağlığı dergisi

Previously, stage 2 disease was generally observed in younger patients. (37). Our cohort breast cancer was more frequently observed in stage 3A.

Furthermore, being at a young age has been reported as a risk factor for local-recurrence after breast conservation. This rate was reported to be between 7.5-35% in numerous studies (13, 32, 33, 36, 38-41). Various studies have demonstrated the 5-year-survival of young aged patients being between 64% and 91%. Young age has been shown to be a strong prognostic factor for survival. Disease-free survival was determined to be worse in younger patients (1,4,10,12,13,15,16,28,37,42,43). However, another study found no difference in the overall and disease-free survival for younger aged patients, thus, outcome explained by a more aggressive treatment (33, 44). In our study, the overall and disease-free survival was worse in younger aged patients.

Tumor diameter, ER negativity, lymphatic and vascular invasion, nodal involvement and metastasis were predictive factors in younger age patients (1). In our study, stage 3-4 diseases, grade 2-3, and nodal involvement have been determined as strong predicative factors for local-recurrence, metastasis, and mortality.

#### Conclusion

In young breast cancer patients, stage, nodal involvement, lymphatic invasion, vascular invasion and ER/PR negativity were found to be significantly more. Local-recurrence and mortality were observed more frequently in these patients and overall and disease-free survival rates were worse. Stage, grade and nodal status were strong predictive factors. The results demonstrate that young breast cancer patients have worse prognostic factors. The characteristics of breast cancer in younger women living in Turkey are similar to those of other countries.

#### References

- Jmor S, Al-Sayer H, Heys SD, Payne S, Miller I, Ah-See A, Hutcheon A, Eremin O. Breast cancer in women aged 35 and under: prognosis and survival. J R Coll Surg Edinb 2002;47:693-9. Erratum in: J R Coll Surg Edinb 2000;47:730. (PMID:12463710)
- Kothari AS, Fentiman IS. 11. Breast cancer in young women.Int J Clin Pract 2002;56:184-187. (PMID:12018823)
- Biffl WL, Myers A, Franciose RJ, Gonzalez RJ, Darnell. Is breast cancer in young Latinas a different disease? Am J Surg 2001;182:596-600. (PMID:11839323)
- Han W, Kim SW, Park IA, Kang D, Kim SW, Youn YK, Oh SK, Choe KJ, Noh DY. Young age: an independent risk factor for disease-free survival in women with operable breast cancer. BMC Cancer 2004;4:82. (PMID:15546499)
- Shannon C, Smith IE. Breast cancer in adolescents and young women. Eur J Cancer 2003;39:2632–2642. (PMID:14642925)
- Özer E, Sis B, Özen E, at all. BRCA 1, C-erb B2, and H-ras gene expressions in young woman with breast cancer. Appl Immunohistochem Molecul Morphol 2000; 8: 12–18. (PMID:10937043)
- Sidoni A, Cavaliere A, Bellezza G, Scheibel M, Bucciarelli. Breast cancer in young women: clinicopathological features and biological specificity. Breast 2003;12:247–250. (PMID:14659308)
- 8. Kalfon B, Fineberg S, Gu Y, Anand K, Dalal A, Jones J, Sparano JA. Microvessel density and p53 overexpression in young women with breast cancer: a case-control study. Clin Breast Cancer 2001;2:67-72. (PMID:11899385)
- Kroman N, Jensen MB, Wohlfahrt J, Mouridsen HT, Andersen PK, Melbye M. Factors influencing the effect of age on prognosis in breast cancer: population based study. BMJ 2000;320:474–478. (PMID:10678859)
- Rapiti E, Fioretta G, Verkooijen HM, Vlastos G, Schafer P, Sappino AP, Kurtz J, Neyroud-Caspar I, Bouchardy C. Survival of young and older breast cancer patients in Geneva from 1990 to 2001. Eur J Cancer 2005;41:1446-1452. (PMID:15919199)
- Ugnat AM, Xie L, Morriss J, Semenciw R, Mao Y. Survival of women with breast cancer in Ottawa, Canada: variation with age, stage, histology, grade and treatment. Br J Cancer 2004;90:1138-1143. (PMID:15026792)
- Maggard MA, O'Connell JB, Lane KE, Liu JH, Etzioni DA, Ko CY. Do young breast cancer patients have worse outcomes? J Surg Res 2003;113:109-113. (PMID:12943818)

- Dubsky PC, Gnant MF, Taucher S, Roka S, Kandioler D, Pichler-Gebhard B, Agstner I, Seifert M, Sevelda P, Jakesz R. Young age as an independent adverse prognostic factor in premenopausal patients with breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer 2002;3:65–72. (PMID:12020397)
- Love RR, Duc NB, Dinh NV, Quy TT, Xin Y, Havighurst TC. Young age as an adverse prognostic factor in premenopausal women with operable breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer 2002;2:294-298. (PMID:11899361)
- 15. Yıldırım E, Dalgıç T, Berberoğlu U. Prognostic significance of young age in breast cancer. J Surg Oncol 2000; 74: 267-272. (PMID:10962458)
- Bakkali H, Marchal C, Lesur-Schwander A, Verhaeghe JL. Breast cancer in women thirty years old or less. Cancer Radiother. 2003;7:153-159. (PMID:12834769)
- Zhou P, Recht A. Young age and outcome for women with earlystage invasive breast carcinoma. Cancer 2004;101:1264-1274. (PMID:15316900)
- Gillett D; Kennedy C; Carmalt H. Breast cancer in young woman. Aust N Z J Surg 1997; 67: 761-764. (PMID:9396990)
- 19. Repetto L; Costantini M; Campora E; at all. A retrospective comparison of detection and treatment of breast cancer in young and elderly patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat 1997; 43: 27-31. (PMID:9065596)
- Thurfjell EL; Lindgren JA. Breast cancer survival rates with mammographic screening: similar favorable survival rates for woman younger and those older than 50 years. Radiology 1996; 201: 421-426. (PMID:8888234)
- 21. Winchester DP. Breast cancer in young woman. Surg Clin North Am 1996; 76: 279-287. (PMID:8610264)
- 22. Sariego J; Zrada S; Byrd M; Matsumato T. Breast cancer in young patients. Am J Surg 1995; 170: 243-245. (PMID:7661290)
- 23. Molnar M; Besznyak I; Koves I. Cancer of the breast in young woman. Acta Chir Hung 1995-96; 35: 5-11. (PMID:8659239)
- 24. Gajdos C; Tartter Pl; Bleiweiss IJ; Bodian C; Brower ST. Stage 0 to stage III breast cancer in young women. J Am Coll Surg 2000; 190: 523-529. (PMID:10801018)
- 25. Dobi Á, Kelemen G, Kaizer L, Weiczner R, Thurzó L, Kahán Z. Breast cancer under 40 years of age: increasing number and worse prognosis. Pathol Oncol Res 2011;17:425-428. (PMID:20848250)
- 26. Dirier A, Burhanedtin-Zincircioglu S, Karadayi B, Isikdogan A, Aksu R. Characteristics and prognosis of breast cancer in younger women. J BUON 2009;14:619-623. (PMID:20148452)



- 27. Fredholm H, Eaker S, Frisell J, Holmberg L, Fredriksson I, Lindman H. Breast cancer in young women: poor survival despite intensive treatment. PLoS One 2009;4:7695. (PMID:19907646)
- Cluze C, Colonna M, Remontet L, Poncet F, Sellier E, Seigneurin A, Delafosse P, Bossard N. Analysis of the effect of age on the prognosis of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2009;117:121-129. (PMID:18931908)
- Kim EK, Noh WC, Han W, Noh DY. Prognostic significance of young age (<35 years) by subtype based on ER, PR, and HER2 status in breast cancer: a nationwide registry-based study. World J Surg 2011;35:1244-1253. (PMID:2472372)
- Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Broglio K, Kau SW, Eralp Y, Erlichman J, Valero V, Theriault R, Booser D, Buzdar AU, Hortobagyi GN, Arun B. Women age < or = 35 years with primary breast carcinoma: disease features at presentation. Cancer 2005;103:2466-2472. (PMID:15852360)</li>
- Jayasinghe UW, Taylor R, Boyages J. Is age at diagnosis an independent prognostic factor for survival following breast cancer? ANZ J Surg 2005; 75:762-767. (PMID:16173989)
- Foo CS, Su D, Chong CK, Chng HC, Tay KH, Low SC, Tan SM. Breast cancer in young Asian women: study on survival. ANZ J Surg 2005;75:566-572. (PMID:15972049)
- 33. Sundquist M, Thorstenson S, Brudin L, Wingren S, Nordenskjold B. Incidence and prognosis in early onset breast cancer. Breast 2002;11:30-35. (PMID:14965642)
- 34. Borg MF. Breast-conserving therapy in young women with invasive carcinoma of the breast. Australas Radiol 2004;48:376-382. (PMID:15344990)
- 35. Vrieling C, Collette L, Fourquet A, Hoogenraad WJ, Horiot JC, Jager JJ, Bing Oei S, Peterse HL, Pierart M, Poortmans PM, Struikmans H, Van den Bogaert W, Bartelink H; EORTC Radiotherapy, Breast Cancer Groups. Can patient-, treatment- and pathology-related characteristics explain the high local recurrence rate following breast-conserving therapy in young patients? Eur J Cancer 2003;39:932-944. (PMID:12706362)

- 36. Clive S, Dixon JM. The value of adjuvant treatment in young women with breast cancer. Drugs 2002;62:1-11. (PMID:11790154)
- 37. Xiong Q, Valero V, Kau V, Kau SW, Taylor S, Smith TL, Buzdar AU, Hortobagyi GN, Theriault RL. Female patients with breast carcinoma age 30 years and younger have a poor prognosis: the M.D. Anderson Cancer Center experience. Cancer 2001;92:2523-2528. (PMID:11745185)
- 38. Arriagada R, Le MG, Contesso G, Guinebretiere JM, Rochard F, Spielmann M. Predictive factors for local recurrence in 2006 patients with surgically resected small breast cancer. Ann Oncol 2002;13:1404-1413. (PMID:12196366)
- 39. Kocic B, Filipovic S, Vrbic V, Pejcic I. Breast cancer in women under 40 years of age. J BUON 2011;16:635-659. (PMID:22331714)
- Martinez-Ramos D, Escrig J, Torrella A, Hoashi JS, Alcalde M, Salvador JL. Risk of recurrence of non-metastatic breast cancer in women under 40 years: a population-registry cancer study in a European country. Breast J 2012;18:118-123. (PMID:22211922)
- 41. Peng R, Wang S, Shi Y, Liu D, Teng X, Qin T, Zeng Y, Yuan Z. Patients 35 years old or younger with operable breast cancer are more at risk for relapse and survival: a retrospective matched case-control study. Breast 2011;20:568-573. (PMID:21843944)
- 42. Varga D, Koenig J, Kuhr K, Strunz K, Geyer V, Kurzeder C, Atassi Z, Blettner M, Kreienberg R, Woeckel A. Comparison of early onset breast cancer patients to older premenopausal breast cancer patients. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2010;282:427-432. (PMID:20047053)
- 43. Aryandono T, Harijadi, Soeripto. Breast cancer in young women: prognostic factors and clinicopathological features. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2006;7:451-454. (PMID:17059343)
- 44. Kalyani R, Das S, Kumar ML. Pattern of cancer in adolescent and young adults—a ten year study in India. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2010;11:655-659. (PMID:21039032)

# Correspondence

Erol Aksaz

Phone : +90 224 2416565 E-mail : e-aksaz@mamer.com.tr